

AN ALGORITHM FOR COMPUTING p -ADIC MULTIPLE ZETA VALUES

SEIDAI YASUDA

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. On this article	1
2. Notation	2
2.1. Notation for modules	2
2.2. Notation for the multiple zeta values	2
2.3. Notation for words	2
3. An algorithm for computing p -adic MZV's	3
3.1. The map $H : W \times W \rightarrow B[W]$	3
3.2. The constants $C_{p,m}$	5
3.3. An algorithm	5
References	7
Appendix A. Proofs of Proposition 3.2 and 3.3	7
A.1. Notation	7
A.2. The function $\mathcal{L}_w(z)$	8
A.3. Proof of Proposition 3.2	8
A.4. A description of $Z_p(v, w)$	10
A.5. Proof of Proposition 3.3	11
A.6. A consequence	12

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **On this article.** Let p be a prime number. The aim of this article is to give an algorithm for computing p -adic multiple zeta values defined by Furusho [F]. A rough sketch of our algorithm is as follows:

- Let W denote the set of words of two letters 0, 1. We introduce in Section 2.3.2 a subset $W_1 \subset W$.
- Let \tilde{B} denote the (commutative) polynomial ring over \mathbb{Z} in (infinitely many) variables indexed by $W \times W$. We introduce in Section 3.1.1 a certain quotient ring B of \tilde{B} .
- Let us consider the free B module $B[W]$ with basis W .
- In section 3.1 we define a map $H : W_1 \times W \rightarrow B[W]$ by an inductive method. W , W_1 , \tilde{B} , B and H do not depend on the choice of p .
- We introduce in (3.1) an integer $C_{p,m}$ for each integer $m \geq 0$.
- We introduce in Section 3.3.1 a p -adic number $Z_p(\mathbb{k}_1, \dots, \mathbb{k}_r) \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ for indices $\mathbb{k}_1, \dots, \mathbb{k}_r$ (we refer Section 2.1 for the definition of an index).
- We introduce in Section 3.3.3 a map $\tilde{Z}_p : W \times W \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$. We extend this to a homomorphism $\tilde{Z} : \tilde{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$ of rings. This homomorphism factors

through the quotient homomorphism $\widetilde{B} \rightarrow B$ and induces a homomorphism $Z : B \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$ of rings.

- We can inductively compute the p -adic multiple zeta values by using (3.4). (See section 2.3.3 for the definition of the symbol $\mathbb{k}(w)$ which appears in (??).)

The reader can understand the algorithm only by reading the paragraphs and the equation referred above.

The author have made some numerical computation of p -adic multiple zeta values using the algorithm above, which have lead him to a conjecture relating the p -adic multiple zeta values with the mod. p multiple harmonic sums studied by [?] and [Zh].

2. NOTATION

2.1. Notation for modules. For a set S and for a commutative ring R , we denote by $R[S]$ the free R -module with basis S . For $s \in S$, we denote by the symbol $[s]$ the element s regard as a member of the basis of $R[S]$.

2.2. Notation for the multiple zeta values.

2.2.1. Notation for indices. Let $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ denote the set of non-negative integers, the set of positive integer, respectively. Let us introduce the following set I :

$$I = \coprod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \overbrace{(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1})}^{n \text{ times}}.$$

An element of I is called an index. Let $\mathbb{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$ be an index. The integer $|\mathbb{k}| = k_1 + \cdots + k_n$ is called the weight of \mathbb{k} (when $n = 0$, we understand $|\mathbb{k}| = 0$).

The unique index with $|\mathbb{k}| = 0$ is called the empty index and is denoted by \emptyset .

2.2.2. Multiple polylogarithms. Let $\mathbb{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$ be an index. Let \angle_n denote the set

$$(2.1) \quad \angle_n = \{(m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid 0 < m_1 < \cdots < m_n\}.$$

The following infinite sum is called the multiple polylogarithm with index \mathbb{k} :

$$\text{Li}_{\mathbb{k}}(z) = \text{Li}_{k_1, \dots, k_n}(z) = \sum_{(m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \angle_n} \frac{z^{m_n}}{m_1^{k_1} \cdots m_n^{k_n}}.$$

We regard it as a formal power series in t with coefficients in \mathbb{Q} . When $k = \emptyset$, we understand $\text{Li}_{\mathbb{k}}(z) = 1$.

2.2.3. Multiple zeta values. We say that an index $\mathbb{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$ is admissible if $\mathbb{k} = \emptyset$ or $k_n \geq 2$.

Suppose that $\mathbb{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$ in an admissible index. Then the infinite sum $\text{Li}_{\mathbb{k}}(1)$ converges to a real number which we denote by $\zeta(\mathbb{k})$ or by $\zeta(k_1, \dots, k_n)$. By definition we have

$$\zeta(\mathbb{k}) = \sum_{0 \leq m_1 < \cdots < m_n} \frac{1}{m_1^{k_1} \cdots m_n^{k_n}}.$$

2.3. Notation for words. Let W denote the (non-commutative) free monoid generated by the two elements 0, 1. We denote by e the unit element of W . We regard an element of W as a word in letters 0 and 1. Any $w \in W$ can be written as $w = w_1 \cdots w_k$, where $k \geq 0$ is an integer and w_1, \dots, w_k are elements of $\{0, 1\}$. The expression $w_1 \cdots w_k$ of w is called the *spelling of w* . The integer k is called the *length of w* and is denoted by $\ell(w)$. For $v, w \in W$, we denote by vw or by $w \circ v$ the word obtained by joining v and w .

2.3.1. *Some inversions of words.* Let $w \in W$ and let $w = w_1 \cdots w_k$ be the spelling of w . The word $w_k \cdots w_1$ is called the *order-inversion* of w and is denoted by $(w)^{\leftrightarrow}$. Let us write $w'_i = 1 - w_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. The word $w'_1 \cdots w'_k$ is called the *letter-inversion* of w and is denoted by $(w)^{\dagger}$. We have the equality $((w)^{\leftrightarrow})^{\dagger} = ((w)^{\dagger})^{\leftrightarrow} = w'_k \cdots w'_1$. We call the word $((w)^{\leftrightarrow})^{\dagger}$ the *dual* of w and is denoted by $\iota(w)$.

2.3.2. *The submonoid $W_1 \subset W$.* We let $W_1 \subset W$ denote the subset of words $w \in W$ which is either equal to e or a word which begins with 1. Then W_1 is a submonoid of W .

2.3.3. *The correspondence between indices and words.* Let $\mathbb{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$ be an index. The word

$$w(\mathbb{k}) = 1 \overbrace{0 \cdots 0}^{k_1-1 \text{ times}} 1 \overbrace{0 \cdots 0}^{k_2-1 \text{ times}} 1 \cdots 1 \overbrace{0 \cdots 0}^{k_n-1 \text{ times}}$$

is called the word corresponding to the index \mathbb{k} . (Here we understand $w(\mathbb{k}) = e$ when $\mathbb{k} = \emptyset$.) By definition, $w(\mathbb{k})$ is a word of length $|\mathbb{k}|$ which belongs to W_1 .

For any $w \in W_1$, there exists a unique index \mathbb{k} satisfying $w(\mathbb{k}) = w$. We denote this index by $\mathbb{k}(w)$.

3. AN ALGORITHM FOR COMPUTING p -ADIC MZV'S

3.1. The map $H : W \times W \rightarrow B[W]$.

3.1.1. *Some more notation.* We denote by \tilde{B} the (commutative) polynomial ring with integral coefficients in infinite variables indexed by $W \times W$. For $(v, w) \in W \times W$, we denote by $\tilde{X}_{v,w}$ the element (v, w) regarded as a variable in \tilde{B} . We denote by B the quotient of \tilde{B} by the ideal generated by the set

$$\{\tilde{X}_{v1,w} - \tilde{X}_{v,1w} \mid v, w \in W\} \cup \{\tilde{X}_{1v,w} - \tilde{X}_{v,w1} \mid v, w \in W\} \cup \{\tilde{X}_{v,e} \mid v \in W\}.$$

For $v, w \in W$, we denote by $X_{v,w}$ the image of $\tilde{X}_{v,w}$ in B .

For a pair $(v, w) \in W \times W$ satisfying $vw \in W_1$, we denote by $X_{v,w}^{(2)}$ the following element in B :

$$X_{v,w}^{(2)} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } v = w = e, \\ X_{e,w'0}, & \text{if } v = e, w \neq e \text{ (here we set } w = 1w'), \\ X_{v',w0}, & \text{if } v \neq e \text{ (here we set } v = 1v'). \end{cases}$$

3.1.2. *The map $H : W \times W \rightarrow B[W]$.* Let us consider the free B -module $B[W]$ with basis W . For $v \in W$, we set

$$Y(v) = \{(v', v'') \in W \times W \mid v = v'v''\},$$

$$Y_0(v) = \{(v', v'') \in W \times W \mid v = v'0v''\}$$

$$Y_1(v) = \{(v', v'') \in W \times W \mid v = v'1v''\}.$$

Let us define a map $H : W_1 \times W \rightarrow B[W]$ inductively by the following rules:

- For any $v \in W_1$, $w \in W$ with $vw \in W_1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
H(v, w) = & [vw] + \sum_{\substack{(v', v'') \in Y(v) \\ v'' \neq e}} X_{v'', w} [v'] + \sum_{(w', w'') \in Y(w)} X_{e, w''} [vw'] \\
& - \sum_{(w', w'') \in Y_0(w)} \left(\begin{aligned} & \sum_{(v', v'') \in Y_1(v)} X_{v'', w'0} (H(v'0, w'') + H(v'1, w'')) \\ & + \sum_{(v', v'') \in Y_1(w')} X_{e, v''0} (H(vv'0, w'') + H(vv'1, w'')) \end{aligned} \right) \\
& + \sum_{(w', w'') \in Y_1(w)} \left(\begin{aligned} & \sum_{(v', v'') \in Y_0(v)} X_{0v'', w'} (H(v'0, w'') + H(v'1, w'')) \\ & + \sum_{(v', v'') \in Y_0(w')} X_{e, 0v''} (H(vv'0, w'') + H(vv'1, w'')) \end{aligned} \right) \\
& + \sum_{(w', w'') \in Y(w)} X_{v, w'}^{(2)} H(e, w'').
\end{aligned}$$

Here any term of the form $H(0, w')$ are understood to be zero.

- For any $w \in W$ which begins with 0, we have $H(e, w) = 0$.

3.1.3. *The meaning of $H(v, w)$.* Let $(v, w) \in W_1 \times W$ with $vw \in W_1$. We explain the meaning of $H(v, w)$.

Let p be a prime number. Let us define the formal power series $L_{(v, w)} \in \mathbb{Q}[[t]]$ inductively by the following rules:

- $L_{(v, e)}(z) = \text{Li}_{\mathbb{k}(v)}(z)$
- Suppose $w \neq e$ and let us write $w = w'x$ with $x \in \{0, 1\}$. Then

$$dL_{(v, w)}(z) = \begin{cases} L_{(v, w')}(z) \frac{d(\varphi(z))}{\varphi(z)}, & x = 0, \\ L_{(v, w')}(z) \frac{d(\varphi(z))}{1 - \varphi(z)}, & x = 1 \end{cases}$$

(here $\varphi(z) = 1 - (1 - z)^p$) and $L_{(v, w)}(0) = 0$.

Later we will introduce a ring homomorphism $Z : B \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$. Let us write $H(v, w) = \sum_{w'} b_{w'} [w']$. Then $\sum_{w'} Z(b_{w'}) \zeta_p(\mathbb{k}(w'))$ can be interpreted as the value at $z = 1$ of an suitable analytic continuation of the power series $L_{(v, w)}(z)$.

3.1.4. *Variants. The map $H' : W \times W \rightarrow B[s][W]$.* Let us consider the polynomial ring $B[s]$ over B in one variable s . Let us define a map $H' : W_1 \times W \rightarrow B[s][W]$ inductively by the following rules:

- For any $v \in W_1$, $w \in W$ with $vw \in W_1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
H'(v, w) = & [vw] + \sum_{\substack{(v', v'') \in Y(v) \\ v'' \neq e}} X_{v'', w} [v'] + \sum_{(w', w'') \in Y(w)} X_{e, w''} [vw'] \\
& - \sum_{(w', w'') \in Y_0(w)} \left(\begin{aligned} & \sum_{(v', v'') \in Y_1(v)} X_{v'', w'0} (H'(v'0, w'') + H'(v'1, w'')) \\ & + \sum_{(v', v'') \in Y_1(w')} X_{e, v''0} (H'(vv'0, w'') + H'(vv'1, w'')) \end{aligned} \right) \\
& + \sum_{(w', w'') \in Y_1(w)} \left(\begin{aligned} & \sum_{(v', v'') \in Y_0(v)} X_{0v'', w'} (H'(v'0, w'') + H'(v'1, w'')) \\ & + \sum_{(v', v'') \in Y_0(w')} X_{e, 0v''} (H'(vv'0, w'') + H'(vv'1, w'')) \end{aligned} \right) \\
& + \sum_{\substack{(w', w'') \in Y(w) \\ w'' \in W_1}} X_{v, w'}^{(2)} s^{\ell(w'')} [w''].
\end{aligned}$$

Here all the terms of the form $H'(0, w')$ in the right hand side are assumed to be zero, and any term of the form $H'(1, w')$ is understood to be $s^{\ell(w')+1}[1w']$.

- For any $w \in W$ which begins with 0, we have $H'(e, w) = 0$.

3.2. The constants $C_{p,m}$. In this paragraph we fix a prime number p .

For an integer $m \geq 0$, we denote by $C_{p,m}$ the following integer

$$(3.1) \quad C_{p,m} = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{m}{p} \rfloor} (-1)^{pi} \binom{m}{pi}.$$

If we let $\mu_p \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ denote the set of p -th roots of unity, then we have

$$C_{p,m} = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\zeta \in \mu_p} (1 - \zeta)^m.$$

This shows that the p -adic order of $C_{p,m}$ is at least $\max(\lfloor \frac{m}{p-1} \rfloor - 1, 0)$. We can check that this bound of $\text{ord}_p(C_{p,m})$ is optimal when m is divisible by $p-1$. Moreover we have:

Lemma 3.1. *Let us write $m = pm' + r$ with $0 \leq r < p-1$. Let s be the unique integer satisfying $0 \leq s \leq p-2$ and $m' + s \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}$. We then have*

- (1) *If $r = s = 0$, then $\text{ord}_p(C_{p,m})$ is equal to $\max(\lfloor \frac{m}{p-1} \rfloor - 1, 0) = \max(\frac{pm'}{p-1} - 1, 0)$.*
- (2) *If $r \neq 0$ and $s = 0$, then $\text{ord}_p(C_{p,m})$ is equal to $\max(\lfloor \frac{m}{p-1} \rfloor - 1, 0) = \frac{pm'}{p-1}$.*
- (3) *Suppose that $s \neq 0$. Then*

$$\sum_{j=1}^r (-1)^j \binom{r}{j} j^s$$

is not divisible by p if and only if $\text{ord}_p(C_{p,m})$ is equal to $\max(\lfloor \frac{m}{p-1} \rfloor - 1, 0) = \lfloor \frac{pm'}{p-1} \rfloor$.

□

When m is divisible by p and not divisible by $p-1$, then m does not satisfy any of the three conditions in the lemma above. In this case we can see that $\text{ord}_p(C_{p,m})$ is strictly smaller than $\max(\lfloor \frac{m}{p-1} \rfloor - 1, 0)$. For example if m is odd and is divisible by p , then it can be checked easily that $C_{p,m} = 0$.

3.3. An algorithm.

3.3.1. The sum $Z_p(\mathbb{k}_1, \dots, \mathbb{k}_r)$. Let $\mathbb{k}_1, \dots, \mathbb{k}_r$ be finitely many non-empty indices. Let us write $\mathbb{k}_i = (k_{i,1}, \dots, k_{i,n_i})$. We set

$$\angle_{n_1, \dots, n_r} = \{((m_{i,1}, \dots, m_{i,n_i}))_{1 \leq i \leq r} \in \angle_{n_1} \times \dots \times \angle_{n_r} \mid m_{1,n_1} \geq m_{2,1}, \dots, m_{r-1,n_{r-1}} \geq m_{r,1}\}$$

We define $Z_p(\mathbb{k}_1, \dots, \mathbb{k}_r) \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ to be the sum

$$(3.2) \quad Z_p(\mathbb{k}_1, \dots, \mathbb{k}_r) = \sum_{((m_{i,1}, \dots, m_{i,n_i}))_{1 \leq i \leq r} \in \angle_{n_1, \dots, n_r}} \frac{C_{p,m_{1,n_1}-m_{2,1}} \cdots C_{p,m_{r-1,n_{r-1}}-m_{r,1}} C_{p,m_{r,n_r}}}{\prod_{1 \leq i \leq r} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n_i} m_{i,j}^{k_{i,j}}}.$$

3.3.2. Words in three letters. Let \mathbb{W} denote the set of words in the three letters 0, 1, and 2. We regard W as a subset of \mathbb{W} . We denote by $\mathbb{W}_2 \subset \mathbb{W}$ the subset of elements of \mathbb{W} which is either equal to e or a word which ends with the letter 2. Any element w of \mathbb{W}_2 is uniquely written as

$$w = w^{(1)}2w^{(2)}2 \cdots 2w^{(r-1)}2w^{(r)}2$$

with $w^{(1)}, \dots, w^{(r)} \in W$. We denote by $\mathbb{K}(w)$ the sequence

$$\mathbb{K}(w) = (\mathbb{k}(1w^{(1)}), \mathbb{k}(1w^{(2)}), \dots, \mathbb{k}(1w^{(r)}))$$

of indices. This gives a one-to-one correspondence between the elements in \mathbb{W}_2 and a finite sequence of indices.

Let $T_2 : W \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$ denote the map defined as follows: for $w \in W$, $T_2(w)$ is the word obtained by replacing the letters 0 in $(w)^{\leftrightarrow}$ with 2. For example we have $T_2(01001) = 12212$.

We have the following (non-trivial) formula, whose proof will be given in Section A.3 of the appendix.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $w \in \mathbb{W}_2$. Suppose $w \neq e$ and w does not contain the letter 0. Then we have $Z_p(\mathbb{K}(w)) = 0$. \square*

3.3.3. The sum $Z_p(v, w)$. Let $v, w \in W$. In the computation of p -adic MZV's, the sum

$$(-1)^{\ell(w)+1} \sum_{w'} Z_p(\mathbb{K}(w'2))$$

(here $\ell(w)$ denotes the length of the word w , and w' in the sum runs over the shuffles of the words v and $T_2(w)$) plays an important role. We denote this sum by $Z_p(v, w)$.

It seems important to compute the p -adic orders of $Z_p(v, w)$ for various $v, w \in W$. The following formula is non-trivial, and is proved by using a strengthened version of Proposition 3.2 and the theory of Coleman integrals. Details of the proof will be given in Section A.5 of the appendix.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $v, w \in W$. Then we have*

$$Z_p(1v, w) = Z_p(v, w1).$$

\square

For $(v, w) \in W \times W$, we set

$$\tilde{Z}_p(v, w) = \sum_{(w', w'') \in Y_0(w)} Z_p(vw', w'').$$

Proposition 3.4. *Let $v, w \in W$. We then have*

- (1) $\tilde{Z}_p(v1, w) = \tilde{Z}_p(v, 1w)$,
- (2) $\tilde{Z}_p(1v, w) = \tilde{Z}_p(v, w1)$,
- (3) $\tilde{Z}_p(v, e) = 0$.

\square

Proof. The claims (1), (3) are obvious. The claim (2) follows from Proposition 3.3. \square

3.3.4. The algorithm. Let $\tilde{Z} : \tilde{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$ be the ring homomorphism defined as follows: for $(v, w) \in W \times W$, the homomorphism \tilde{Z} sends $\tilde{X}_{v,w}$ to $\tilde{Z}_p(v, w)$. It follows from Proposition 3.4 that the homomorphism $\tilde{Z} : \tilde{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$ factors through the projection $\tilde{B} \rightarrow B$. We denote by Z the induced homomorphism $B \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $w \in W_1$ and let us write $H(e, w) = \sum_{v \in W} b_v[v]$. We then have*

- (1) If $\ell(v) \geq \ell(w)$ and $v \neq w$, then we have $b_v = 0$.
- (2) We have $b_w = 1$.
- (3) If $v \notin W_1$, then we have $Z(b_v) = 0$.
- (4) We have

$$(3.3) \quad p^{-\ell(w)} \zeta_p(\mathbb{k}(w)) = \sum_{v \in W_1} Z_p(b_v) \zeta_p(\mathbb{k}(v)).$$

By using this theorem, we can inductively compute $\zeta_p(\mathbb{k})$.

3.3.5. A variant. We extend the homomorphism $Z : B \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$ to the homomorphism $Z : B[s] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$ by setting $Z(s) = 1/p$.

Theorem 3.6. Let $w \in W_1$ and let us write $H'(e, w) = \sum_{v \in W} b'_v[v]$. We then have:

- (1) If $\ell(v) \geq \ell(w)$ and $v \neq w$, then we have $b'_v = 0$.
- (2) We have $b'_w = 1$.
- (3) If $v \notin W_1$, then we have $Z(b'_v) = 0$.
- (4) We have

$$(3.4) \quad p^{-\ell(w)} \zeta_p(\mathbb{k}(w)) = \sum_{v \in W_1} Z_p(b'_v) \zeta_p(\mathbb{k}(v)).$$

We can inductively compute $\zeta_p(\mathbb{k})$ also by using this theorem. It seems that the latter algorithm is more effective.

REFERENCES

- [F] Furusho, H.: *p -adic multiple zeta values I*. Invent. Math. **155**, 253–286 (2004)
- [H] Hoffman, M. E.: *Quasi-symmetric functions and mod p multiple harmonic sums*. Preprint math/0401319
- [Zh] Zhao, J.: *Wolstenholme type theorem for multiple harmonic sums*. Int. J. Number Theory **4**, no. 1, 73–106 (2008)

APPENDIX A. PROOFS OF PROPOSITION 3.2 AND 3.3

In this appendix we give proofs of Proposition 3.2 and 3.3.

A.1. Notation.

A.1.1. In this appendix we fix a prime number p . We denote by \mathbb{Q}_p the field of p -adic numbers. For $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$, we denote by $|x|_p$ the p -adic absolute value of x satisfying $|p|_p = 1/p$. Let us fix an algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ of \mathbb{Q}_p . The absolute value $|\cdot|_p$ on \mathbb{Q}_p can be uniquely extended to an absolute value on $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, which we denote by the same symbol $|\cdot|_p$.

A.1.2. Formal power series. We denote by $\mathbb{Q}_p[[z]]$ the ring of formal power series with coefficients in \mathbb{Q}_p in the formal variable z . Let $R \subset \mathbb{Q}_p[[z]]$ denote the subring of formal power series $f(z)$ which are p -adically convergent on $|z| < 1$. By definition, a formal power series $f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n z^n \in \mathbb{Q}_p[[z]]$ belongs to R if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n|_p r^n = 0$ for any real number r with $0 < r < 1$. Let $f(z) \in R$ and $\zeta \in \mu_p$. Let us write $f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n z^n$. For an element $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ with $|\alpha|_p < 1$, the series $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n \alpha^n$ is p -adically convergent to an element in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. We denote this element by $f(\alpha)$.

A.1.3. *Notation for words.* We use the following notation for a word with letters in $\{0, 1, 2\}$, most of which we have already introduced in Section 2.3 for a word with letters in $\{0, 1\}$. We denote by e the empty word. For a word w , we denote by $\ell(w)$ the length of w . For a word $w = w_1 \cdots w_k$, we denote by $w^{\leftrightarrow} = w_k \cdots w_1$ the word obtained by reversing the order of w . For two words v, w , we let $\text{Sh}(v, w)$ denote the multiset of shuffles of v and w .

A.2. **The function $\mathcal{L}_w(z)$.** Let $w = w_1 \cdots w_k$, with $w_1, \dots, w_k \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, be a word of letters 0, 1, 2. For $i = 0, 1, 2$ let us write

$$S_i(w) = \{j \in \{1, \dots, k\} \mid w_j = i\}.$$

We denote by M_w the set of $(k+1)$ -tuples (m_1, \dots, m_{k+1}) of positive integers satisfying the following three conditions:

- For any $i \in S_0(w)$, we have $m_i = m_{i+1}$,
- For any $i \in S_1(w)$, we have $m_i < m_{i+1}$,
- For any $i \in S_2(w)$, we have $m_i \geq m_{i+1}$.

Let us introduce the following formal power series:

$$\mathcal{L}_w(z) = \sum_{(m_1, \dots, m_{k+1}) \in M_w} \frac{\prod_{j \in S_2(w)} C_{p, m_j - m_{j+1}}}{m_1 \cdots m_{k+1}} \cdot z^{m_{k+1}}.$$

We regard this as an element in $\mathbb{Q}_p[[z]]$. One can check easily that $\mathcal{L}_w(z)$ belongs to R .

Let w be a word of letters 0, 1, 2 which ends with 2. Let us write $w = w'2$. By definition we have

$$Z(\mathbb{K}(w)) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\zeta \in \mu_p} \mathcal{L}_{w'}(1 - \zeta).$$

Let (v, w) be a pair of words of letters 0, 1. We denote by $T_2(w)$ the word of letters 1, 2 obtained by replacing the letter 0 in w^{\leftrightarrow} with the letter 2. Recall that we have defined in Section 3.3.3 the p -adic number $Z_p(v, w)$ to be

$$Z_p(v, w) = (-1)^{\ell(w)+1} \sum_{w' \in \text{Sh}(v, T_2(w))} Z(\mathbb{K}(w'2)).$$

A.3. Proof of Proposition 3.2.

Proposition A.1. *For any word w of letters 1, 2 and for any $\zeta \in \mu_p$, we have $\mathcal{L}_w(1 - \zeta) = 0$.*

A.3.1. *A strategy of a proof of Proposition A.1.* We set

$$q(z) = \log(1 - z) = - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{z^n}{n}.$$

Observe that $q(1 - \zeta) = 0$ for $\zeta \in \mu_p$, and that $|q(\alpha)|_p \leq |\alpha|_p < 1$ for any $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ with $|\alpha|_p \leq 1/p^{1/(p-1)}$. Hence it suffices to show the following lemma:

Lemma A.2. *There exists a formal power series $f_w \in R$ satisfying $f_w(0) = 0$ and $\mathcal{L}_w(z) = f_w(q(z))$.*

We prove Lemma A.2 by induction on the length of the word w .

A.3.2. *Two operators J_1 and J_2 .* For $f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n z^n \in \mathbb{Q}[[t]]$, we denote the formal power series $\sum_{n \geq 1} a_{n-1} z^n / n$ by $\int_0^z f(t) dt$. One can check easily that $\int_0^z f(t) dt \in R$ if $f(z) \in R$.

Let us introduce the following three \mathbb{Q}_p -linear endomorphisms $J_0, J_1, J_2 : R \rightarrow R$ of R : for $f(z) \in R$, we set

$$J_0(f) = \int_0^z \frac{f(t) - f(0)}{t} dt,$$

$$J_1(f) = \int_0^z \frac{f(t)}{1-t} dt,$$

and

$$J_2(f) = \frac{1}{p} \int_0^z \sum_{\zeta \in \mu_p} \frac{f(t) - f(1-\zeta)}{t - (1-\zeta)} dt.$$

Let $w = w_1 \cdots w_k$ be a word of letters 1, 2. We then have

$$\mathcal{L}_w(z) = J_{w_k} \circ \cdots \circ J_{w_1} \circ J_1(1).$$

Proof of Lemma A.2. If $w = e$ is an empty word, then $\mathcal{L}_e = -q(z)$ and the claim is obvious. Let us assume that $w \neq e$. Let j denote the last letter in w and let us write $w = w'j$. By induction hypothesis, there exists a formal power series $f_{w'}(z) \in R$ satisfying $\mathcal{L}_{w'}(z) = f_{w'}(q(z))$. Let us write $f_{w'}(z) = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n z^n$.

First suppose that $j = 1$. We then have

$$\mathcal{L}_w(z) = J_1(f_{w'}(q(z))) = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n \int_0^z \frac{q(t)^n dt}{1-t}.$$

Hence we have $\mathcal{L}_w(z) = f_w(q(z))$ where

$$f_w(z) = - \sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{a_{n-1} z^n}{n}.$$

Next suppose that $j = 2$. By induction hypothesis we have

$$\mathcal{L}_w(z) = J_2(f_{w'}(q(z))) = \frac{1}{p} \int_0^z \sum_{\zeta \in \mu_p} \frac{1}{t - (1-\zeta)} f_{w'}(q(t)) dt.$$

For $\zeta \in \mu_p$, we have

$$\frac{1-t}{(1-\zeta)-t} = \frac{e^{q(t)}}{e^{q(t)} - \zeta}$$

Since

$$\frac{p}{1-y^p} = \sum_{\zeta \in \mu_p} \frac{1}{1-\zeta y},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\zeta \in \mu_p} \frac{t-1}{t-(1-\zeta)} &= \sum_{\zeta \neq 1} \frac{e^{q(t)}}{e^{q(t)} - \zeta} \\ &= \frac{p}{1 - e^{-pq(t)}} = \frac{1}{q(t)} \cdot \frac{-pq(t)}{e^{-pq(t)} - 1} \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(-p)^k B_k}{k!} q(t)^{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here B_k denotes the k -th Bernoulli number. Observe that the formal power series $\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(-p)^k B_k}{k!} z^k$ belongs to R . Hence we have

$$\mathcal{L}_w(z) = J_2(f_{w'}(q(z))) = \frac{1}{p} \int_0^z \frac{1}{t-1} \sum_{k \geq 0, n \geq 1} \frac{(-p)^k B_k a_n}{k!} q(t)^{k+n-1} dt = f_w(q(z)),$$

where

$$f_w(z) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{k \geq 0, n \geq 1} \frac{(-p)^k B_k a_n}{(k+n)k!} z^{k+n}.$$

This proves the claim. \square

This completes the proof of Proposition A.1.

Proof of Proposition 3.2. Let w be a word of letters 1, 2 which ends with 2. We prove that $Z(\mathbb{K}(w)) = 0$. Let us write $w = w'2$. By definition $Z(\mathbb{K}(w))$ is equal to the sum

$$\frac{1}{p} \sum_{\zeta \in \mu_p} \mathcal{L}_{w'}(1 - \zeta).$$

Hence the claim follows from Proposition A.1. \square

A.4. A description of $Z_p(v, w)$.

A.4.1. *Some iterated integrals.* We set $S = \{1, 2\} \amalg \{1 - \zeta \mid \zeta \in \mu_p\}$. When $p = 2$, we distinguish $2 \in \{1, 2\}$ with $1 - (-1)$. For $\alpha \in S$, we set

$$\omega_\alpha = \begin{cases} \frac{dz}{1-z} & \text{if } \alpha = 1, \\ \frac{dz}{z-\alpha} & \text{if } \alpha = 1 - \zeta \text{ for some } \zeta \in \mu_p, \\ \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\zeta \in \mu_p} \omega_{1-\zeta} & \text{if } \alpha = 2. \end{cases}$$

Let $\log_p : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ denote the branch of p -adic logarithm characterized by $\log_p(p) = 0$. For a word $\alpha = \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_k$ of letters in S and for $\beta \in S$ we let $\tilde{\Pi}(\alpha, \beta)$ denote the regularized iterated integral

$$\tilde{\Pi}(\alpha, \beta) = \int_0^\beta \omega_{\alpha_k} \circ \cdots \circ \omega_{\alpha_1}$$

with respect to the branch \log_p of p -adic logarithm. This regularized iterated integral is an element of $\mathbb{Q}_p[T]$. We denote by $\Pi(\alpha, \beta)$ the constant term of $\tilde{\Pi}(\alpha, \beta)$.

A.4.2. *Auxiliary lemmas.* For a word w of letters 0, 1, 2 which ends with 2 and for an integer $r \geq 1$, let us introduce the following set of r -tuples of words of letters 0, 1, 2:

$$D_r(w) = \{(w^{(1)}, \dots, w^{(r)}) \mid w = w^{(1)}2w^{(2)} \cdots 2w^{(r)}2\}.$$

The following lemma can be checked easily:

Lemma A.3. *Let w be a word of letters 0, 1, 2. Then $\zeta \in \mu_p$, the value $\mathcal{L}_w(1 - \zeta)$ is equal to the sum*

$$\sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{r-1}}{p^{r-1}} \sum_{\substack{(w^{(1)}, \dots, w^{(r)}) \in D_r(w) \\ \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{r-1} \in \mu_p}} \tilde{\Pi}(1w^{(1)}, 1 - \zeta_1) \prod_{j=2}^r \tilde{\Pi}((1 - \zeta_{j-1})w^{(j)}, 1 - \zeta_j).$$

Here in the summand we set $\zeta_r = \zeta$. \square

Lemma A.4. *Let w be a word of letters 1, 2. Then for any $\zeta \in \mu_p$ we have $\tilde{\Pi}(1w, 1 - \zeta) = 0$.*

Proof. This follows from Proposition A.1 and Lemma A.3 by induction of the length of w . \square

Lemma A.5. *Let w be a word of letters 1, 2.*

- (1) *Suppose that $w = \overbrace{2 \cdots 2}^{k \text{ times}}$ for some $k \geq 0$. Then for any $\zeta \in \mu_p$, we have $\tilde{\Pi}(w, 1 - \zeta) = T^k/k!$.*
- (2) *Suppose that w contains the letter 1. Then for any $\zeta \in \mu_p$ we have $\tilde{\Pi}(w, 1 - \zeta) = 0$.*

Proof. The claim (1) can be checked directly. We prove the claim (2). Let us write $w = \overbrace{2 \cdots 2}^{k \text{ times}} v$ where v begins with 1. We prove the claim by induction on k . If $k = 0$, then the claim follows from Lemma A.4. Suppose that $k \geq 1$. Let us write $w = 2w'$. By induction hypothesis we have $\tilde{\Pi}(w', 1 - \zeta) = 0$. By applying the shuffle product formula to $\tilde{\Pi}(w', 1 - \zeta)\tilde{\Pi}(2, 1 - \zeta) = 0$ and by using induction hypothesis, we have $\tilde{\Pi}(w, 1 - \zeta) = 0$. \square

A.5. Proof of Proposition 3.3.

Proposition A.6. *Let v and w be words of letters 0, 1. We set $w'' = T_2(w)2$. Then $Z_p(v, w)$ is equal to the sum*

$$-\sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{1}{p^{r-1}} \sum_{\substack{(w^{(1)}, \dots, w^{(r)}) \in D_r(w'') \\ v = v^{(1)} \cdots v^{(r)}}} \sum_{\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_r \in \mu_p} \left(\begin{array}{c} \Pi((w^{(1)})^{\leftrightarrow} 1v^{(1)}, 1 - \zeta_1) \\ \times \prod_{j=2}^r \Pi((w^{(j)})^{\leftrightarrow} (1 - \zeta_{j-1})v^{(j)}, 1 - \zeta_j) \end{array} \right).$$

Proof. By Lemma A.3, $Z_p(v, w)$ is equal to $(-1)^{\ell(w)+1}$ times the sum (A.1)

$$\sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{r-1}}{p^{r-1}} \sum_{\substack{(w^{(1)}, \dots, w^{(r)}) \in D_r(w'') \\ v = v^{(1)} \cdots v^{(r)}}} \sum_{\substack{(w^{(1)}, \dots, w^{(r)}) \\ w^{(i)} \in \text{Sh}(v^{(i)}, w^{(i)})}} \sum_{\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_r \in \mu_p} \left(\begin{array}{c} \Pi(1w^{(1)}, 1 - \zeta_1) \\ \times \prod_{j=2}^r \Pi((1 - \zeta_{j-1})w^{(j)}, 1 - \zeta_j) \end{array} \right).$$

Let us write $w^{(i)} = w_1^{(i)} \cdots w_{k_i}^{(i)}$. By the shuffle product formula we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{w^{(1)} \in \text{Sh}(v^{(1)}, w^{(1)})} \Pi(1w^{(1)}, 1 - \zeta_1) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{k_1} (-1)^i \Pi(w_i^{(1)} \cdots w_1^{(1)} 1v^{(1)}, 1 - \zeta_1) \Pi(w_{i+1}^{(1)} \cdots w_{k_1}^{(1)}, 1 - \zeta_1), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{w^{(j)} \in \text{Sh}(v^{(j)}, w^{(j)})} \Pi((1 - \zeta_{j-1})w^{(j)}, 1 - \zeta_j) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{k_1} (-1)^i \Pi(w_i^{(j)} \cdots w_1^{(j)} (1 - \zeta_{j-1})v^{(j)}, 1 - \zeta_j) \Pi(w_{i+1}^{(j)} \cdots w_{k_j}^{(j)}, 1 - \zeta_j) \end{aligned}$$

for $j = 2, \dots, r$.

Hence by Lemma A.5, we have

$$(A.2) \quad \sum_{w^{(1)} \in \text{Sh}(v^{(1)}, w^{(1)})} \Pi(1w^{(1)}, 1 - \zeta_1) = (-1)^{k_1} \Pi((w^{(1)})^{\leftrightarrow} 1v^{(1)}, 1 - \zeta_1),$$

and

$$(A.3) \quad \sum_{w^{(j)} \in \text{Sh}(v^{(j)}, w^{(j)})} \Pi((1 - \zeta_{j-1})w^{(j)}, 1 - \zeta_j) = (-1)^{k_j} \Pi((w^{(j)})^{\leftrightarrow} (1 - \zeta_{j-1})v^{(j)}, 1 - \zeta_j),$$

for $j = 2, \dots, r$. By applying (A.2) and (A.3) to (A.1), we have the desired equality. \square

Proof of Proposition 3.3. The claim follows from Proposition A.6 and Proposition A.1. \square

A.6. A consequence. For a word w in letters $0, 1, 2$, for a word $\alpha = \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_k$ in letters S , and for $\beta \in S$ we set

$$\tilde{\Pi}(w, \alpha, \beta) = \int_0^\beta \omega_{\alpha_k} \circ \cdots \circ \omega_{\alpha_2} \circ \mathcal{L}_w(z) \omega_{\alpha_1}$$

and denote by $\Pi(w, \alpha, \beta)$ the constant term of $\tilde{\Pi}(w, \alpha, \beta)$.

Proposition A.7. *Let v and w be words of letters $0, 1$. We set $w'' = T_2(w)2$. Then $Z_p(v, w)$ is equal to the sum*

$$-\sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{1}{p^{r-1}} \sum_{\substack{(w^{(1)}, \dots, w^{(r)}) \in D_r(w'') \\ v=v^{(1)} \dots v^{(r)}}} \sum_{\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_r \in \mu_p} \left(\begin{array}{l} \Pi((w^{(1)})^{\leftrightarrow}, 1v^{(1)}, 1 - \zeta_1) \\ \times \prod_{j=2}^r \Pi((w^{(j)})^{\leftrightarrow}, (1 - \zeta_{j-1})v^{(j)}, 1 - \zeta_j) \end{array} \right).$$

Remark A.8. *The sum in Corollary A.7 is easier to calculate than that in Proposition A.6, since $\Pi(w', 1v^{(1)}, 1 - \zeta_1)$ and $\Pi(w', (1 - \zeta_{j-1})v^{(j)}, 1 - \zeta_j)$ can be easily written as a p -adically convergent series if w is a non-empty word of letters 1 and 2 .*

Proof. We can show, by using Proposition A.1, that

$$\Pi((w^{(1)})^{\leftrightarrow}, 1v^{(1)}, 1 - \zeta_1) = \Pi((w^{(1)})^{\leftrightarrow}, 1v^{(1)}, 1 - \zeta_1)$$

and

$$\Pi((w^{(j)})^{\leftrightarrow}, (1 - \zeta_{j-1})v^{(j)}, 1 - \zeta_j) = \Pi((w^{(j)})^{\leftrightarrow}, (1 - \zeta_{j-1})v^{(j)}, 1 - \zeta_j)$$

for $j = 2, \dots, r$. Hence the claim follows from Proposition A.6. \square

(S. Yasuda) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OSAKA UNIVERSITY, TOKYONAKA, OSAKA 560-0043, JAPAN

E-mail address: s-yasuda@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp